

The association of independent consultants in mineral resources, metallurgy and chemical industry

Market Analysis Research of the Russian Steel Industry

The 8th edition

Sample PDF

Moscow December, 2010

Internet: www.infomine.ru e-mail: info@infomine.ru

CONTENTS

Part I. Standing of Ferrous Metallurgy of the Russian Federatio	n 13
Introduction	13
1. Economic standing of Russian ferrous metallurgy	16
2. Analysis of production and capacities utilisation	24
3. The main Russian steelmaking enterprises	32
4. Raw materials for steelmaking	38
Iron ore resources	<i>38</i>
Iron	46
Scrap	51
Other kinds of raw materials (DRI/HBI)	57
5. Restructuring of Russian ferrous metallurgy	60
6. Results of privatization in Russian ferrous metallurgy	66
7. Key export markets for Russian steel	68
Semis	79
Long-rolled products	81
Flat-rolled products	87
8. Russian domestic consumption of rolled steel	96
Machine building	104
2. Analysis of production and capacities utilisation 3. The main Russian steelmaking enterprises 4. Raw materials for steelmaking Iron ore resources Iron Scrap Other kinds of raw materials (DRI/HBI) 5. Restructuring of Russian ferrous metallurgy 6. Results of privatization in Russian ferrous metallurgy 7. Key export markets for Russian steel Semis Long-rolled products Flat-rolled products Flat-rolled products 8. Russian domestic consumption of rolled steel Machine building Civil engineering Pipe sector. 9. Analysis of domestic rolled steel supplies in Russia in 2007-2009 10. Pricing in Russian steel sector 11. Analysis of prices on steel and rolled steel 12. Forecast of Russian production and consumption of steel Part II. Standing Main Russian Steelmaking Enterprises 2.1. JSC Severstal General information The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products Production, tendencies of development Sources and suppliers of raw materials. Domestic Market Exports. Financial Standing Competitiveness, production effectiveness	109
Pipe sector	111
9. Analysis of domestic rolled steel supplies in Russia in 2007-2009	115
10. Pricing in Russian steel sector	
11. Analysis of prices on steel and rolled steel	126
12. Forecast of Russian production and consumption of steel	132
	100
Part II. Standing Main Russian Steelmaking Enterprises	138
2.1. JSC Severstal	138
General information	
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	141
Production, tendencies of development	
Sources and suppliers of raw materials	148
-	
Realized Projects	
Development Program	
Ecological Policy	
Restructuring, pattern of ownership	
-	

Appendix 1: Export of products	220
Semis	
HR flat product	
CR product	
Galvanized sheet	
Wire rod	229
Rod (rebar)	
Angles and sections	
Appendix 2: Mix of products of JSC «Severstal»	
Appendix 3: Contact information	
2.2 Jt.St.Co. MMK	237
General information	237
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	238
Production, tendencies of development	
Sources and suppliers of raw materials	
Domestic Market	
Pipe/tube production/power resources sector	261
Motor works	
Machine building	
Metalware/metalworking industry	
Exports	
Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness	
Financial Standing	
Cost Pattern, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Obligations	281
Ecological Measures	
Privatization, Pattern of Ownership	290
The Realized Projects	
Mining-concentrating/sintering production (GOP)	293
Coal-tar chemical plant (Coking plant)	
Blast furnace plant	
Steelmaking production	
Electro-steelmaking plant (former open-hearth plant)	296
Rolling production	
Long-rolling production	
Hot-rolling flat production	299
Cold-rolling flat production	
Power-generating complex, oxygen shop	
Program of Development	
Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2008	
Semis (slabs and billet)	
Hot-rolled flat steel	
Cold-rolled steel	
Galvanised flats and polymer-coated flats	314

<i>Tinplate</i>	315
Wire rod	
<i>Bar</i>	318
Angle and sections	
Appendix 2: Mix of products of JSC «MMK»	321
Appendix 3: Contact information	323
2.3 Jt.St.Co. NLMK	324
General information	324
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	327
Production, Tendencies of Development	329
Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials	332
Domestic Market	339
Exports	345
Competitiveness and Production Effectiveness	354
Pattern of Costs, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Responsibility	358
Natural Environment Protection	363
Privatization, pattern of ownership	371
Financial Standing	378
Development Program	384
Realised Projects	
Blast Furnace Plant	
Steelmaking (BOC) Production	
Rolling Production	
Hot-rolling production	
Coated sheet (hot-dip galvanized and polymer coated)	
Joint venture Steel Invest & Finance	
Appendix 1: Export of products, 2000-2009, tons, kUSD	
Slabs	
HR flats	
CR flats	
CR narrow strip	
Galvanised flats	
Appendix 2: Mix of products of JSC «NLMK»	
Appendix 3: Contact information	411
2.4 Jt.St.Co. ChMK (MECHEL)	414
General information	
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	
Production, tendencies of development	
Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials	
Sales, domestic market	
Exports	
Competitiveness	434

Financial Standing	435
Environmental Program	
Privatization, Pattern of Ownership	441
Pattern, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Obligations	443
Realised Projects	446
Program for Development	453
Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2009	456
Semis	456
Wire rod	457
Hot-rolled bar	459
Appendix 2: Mix of ChMK's Products	460
Appendix 3: Contact information	461
2.5 JSC OEMK	462
General information	
The enterprise structure, range of products	
Production, tendencies of development	
Sources and suppliers of raw materials	
Domestic market	
Exports	
Competitiveness	
Financial standing, production effectiveness	
Credits and preferences	
Ecology	485
Privatization, pattern of ownership	488
Pattern of costs, labor productivity, personnel, social obligations	
Realised Projects Investments and the program of OEMK development	
Development Program	
Appendix 1: Exports by product in 2000-2009	497
Carbon steel billet	497
Alloyed steel semis	499
Bars	500
Appendix 2: Mix of products of JSC «OEMK»	501
Appendix 3: Contact information	502
2.6 JSC Ural Steel	503
General information	
The enterprise structure, range of products	
Production, tendencies of development	
Sources and suppliers of raw materials	
Sales, domestic market	
Exports	
Competitiveness	
Pattern of Costs, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Responsibility	

Financial Standing	532
Privatization, pattern of ownership	536
Realized Projects	538
Program of Development	544
Environmental Policy	545
Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2009	549
Semis	549
Hot-rolled flat steel	
Appendix 2: Mix of products of JSC «Ural Steel»	553
Appendix 3: Contact information	554
2.7-2.9 EvrazHolding (Evraz Group S.A.)	555
Metallurgical production	
Evraz's foreign steelmaking assets	561
Iron ore mining branch	
Coal/Coke branch	564
Coke subbranch	565
Vanadium branch.	565
Transport branch	566
Contact information	567
2.7 JSC NTMK	568
General information	
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	
Range of products, output	
Production and tendencies of development	
Sources and suppliers of raw materials	
Domestic market	
Exports	
Competitiveness	
Financial standing, production effectiveness	
Ecology	
Privatization, pattern of ownership	
Pattern of Costs, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Responsibility	
Realised Projects	
Development Plans	
Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2009	
Semis	
Long rolled steel – heavy sections	
Appendix 2: Mix of products of JSC «NTMK»	
Appendix 3: Contact information	
2.8 JSC ZapSib	
General Information	614

The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	613
Production, tendencies of development	
Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials	
Sales. domestic Market	
Exports	628
Competitiveness and Production Effectiveness	
Financial Standing	
Environmental Measures	
Privatization and Pattern of Ownership	
Realised Projects	
Program for Development	
Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2009	
Semis	
Wire rod	660
Bar	661
Heavy Sections	662
Appendix 2: Mix of Products	663
Appendix 3: Contact information	
2.9 JSC NKMK	666
General Information	666
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products.	
	667
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	667 669
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products	667 669 673
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials	667 669 673
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market	667 669 673 675
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness	667 669 673 675 680
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports.	667669675680683
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing	667 669 675 680 683 690
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development	667 669 675 680 683 687 690
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development Environmental Policy	667 673 675 680 683 690 695
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development	667 669 675 680 683 690 695 701
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development Environmental Policy Privatization and Pattern of Ownership	667 673 675 680 683 690 695 697 701
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports. Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development Environmental Policy Privatization and Pattern of Ownership Costs Pattern, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Responsibility Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2009	667669675680683690695701703
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development Environmental Policy Privatization and Pattern of Ownership Costs Pattern, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Responsibility	667 673 675 680 683 690 695 701 703 707
The enterprise structure, capacities, range of products. Production, tendencies of development Sources and Suppliers of Raw Materials Sales, domestic market Exports Competitiveness, Production Effectiveness Financial Standing Realised Projects Program for Development Environmental Policy Privatization and Pattern of Ownership Costs Pattern, Labor Productivity, Personnel, Social Responsibility Appendix 1: Exports in 2000-2009 Semis	667669675683690695701703707

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1: Financial results of leading Russian Steelmakers in 2007-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 2: Russian ferrous metallurgy in 1970-2009
- Table 3: Steel production capacities of the main Russian steelmakers, broken by routs (kt, as of the beginning of 2009)
- Table 4: Russian steel production, broken by routs, in 1994-2009, kt, %
- Table 5: Utilisation of productive capacities of ferrous metallurgy companies in 1993-2009, by product (%)
- Table 6: Russian Rolled Steel Production by kind in 1994-2009
- Table 7: Crude and rolled steel production by company in 1993-2009 (Kt)
- Table 8: Effectiveness of steel production in Russia by the greatest steelmakers in 1997-2009 (steel production per an employee, t/employee)
- Table 9: Commodity iron ore production by Russian enterprises in 1998-2009 (kt)
- Table 10: Domestic and export iron ore supplies by Russian enterprises (kt)
- Table 11: Supply-demand balance of commodity iron ore in Russia for 1995-2008 (mln t)
- Table 12: Russian steelmaking iron production in 1998-2009, (Kt)
- Table 13: Ferrous metal scrap consumption for steel production at Russian enterprises in 1992-2009, mln t
- Table 14: Average specific consumption of resources in steelmaking process in Russian ferrous metallurgy in 1990-2009, kg/t steel produced
- Table 15: Russian DRI and HBI production in 1993-2009 (Kt)
- Table 16: Russian Export/Import of rolled steel in 1998-2009 (Kt)*
- Table 17: Russian Export/Import of rolled steel in 1998-2009 to/from CIS countries (Kt)*
- Table 18: Russian Export/Import of rolled steel to/from extra-CIS countries in 1998-2009 (Kt)
- Table 19: Agreed Russian quotas on supply of rolled steel to EU in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 20: Russian export/import of rolled steel, split by kind of products in 1998-2009 (%)
- Table 21: Russian export/import of rolled steel to/from CIS countries, broken by type of products in 1998-2009 (%)
- Table 22: Russian exports of semis, broken by country in 2004-2009 (Kt, %)
- Table 23: Russian export of wire rod by country in 2004-2009 (Kt/%)
- Table 24: Russian export of bar and rebar in 2004-2009 by country (Kt/%)
- Table 25: Russian export of sections by country in 2004-2009 (Kt/%)
- Table 26: Russian export of HR flat products by country in 2004-2009 (Kt/%)
- Table 27: Russian exports of CR flat steel by country in 2004-2009 (Kt/%)
- Table 28: Russian exports of coated sheet by country in 2004-2009 (Kt/%)
- Table 29: Changes in geographic pattern of Russian export of rolled steel 1998-2009
- Table 30: Russian Supply-Demand Balance for rolled steel in 1994-2009 (Kt)
- Table 31: Russian Supply-Demand Balance of rolled steel, broken by kind of the products, in 1998-2009 (Kt)
- Table 32: Macroeconomic parameters and rolled steel consumption in Russia in 2000-2009 (in %, compared with 1995)
- Table 33: A structure of Russian GDP for 1991-2009 (%)
- Table 34: Dynamics of machine building production volume and output of some kinds of machinery and equipment for 1990-2009 (10³ pieces)
- Table 35: Russian foreign trade in machinery and equipment (in billion USD)
- Table 36: Supply-demand balance of steel pipes in Russia in 1990-2009 (mt)
- Table 37: Pipe production in Russia, by plant (1991-2009), kt
- Table 38: Large end-users of rolled steel in Russia (above 50 kt) in 2007-2009, kt
- Table 39: Large traders of rolled steel in Russia (above 50 kt) in 2007-2009, kt
- Table 40: Regional pattern on consumption of domestic rolled steel in Russia in 2005-2009

- Table 41: Specific consumption of power and equivalent fuel in steelmaking by product (per tonne) in 1991-2009
- Table 42: Growth of prices in Russian industry in 1992-2009 (% year-on-year)
- Table 43: Prices on rolled steel at main regional markets of the world in 2005-2010, \$/t
- Table 44: Relationship between Russian domestic and world market prices on rolled steel products in 2005-2010, %*
- Table 45: Russian steel and rolled steel production and forecast for 2010-2015, mln t
- Table 46: Forecast of Supply-Demand Balance of rolled steel in Russia for 2010-2015, mln t
- Table 47: Steel production by main Russian Steelmaking Works in 1998-2009 (by route), kt
- Table 48: Rolled steel production in Russia in 1998-2009 by type of the products, kt
- Table 49: Structure of Severstal Group
- Table 50: Manufacture of basic products of Severstal in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 51: Iron ore supplies for Severstal in 2000-2009 (kt
- Table 52: The main suppliers of resources to Severstal in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 53: Pattern of Severstal domestic sales by end-use in 2004-2009, %
- Table 54: The greatest clients of Severstal at domestic market in 2005-2009, kt
- Table 55: Severstal exports in 2000-2009 by product (t)
- Table 56: Incomes-losses reports of Severstal for 2000-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 57: Severstal's Financial Results for the 12 months ended 31 December, 2007
- Table 58: Severstal Financial Results for the year ended 31 December, 2008
- Table 59: Severstal Financial Results for the year ended 31 December, 2009
- Table 60: Origination and utilisation of wastes in Severstal in 2004-2009
- Table 61: Pattern of Severstal Costs in 2000-2009, %
- Table 62: Labor productivity at Severstal in 2004-2009
- Table 63: Manufacture of basic commodity products of MMK in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 64: Iron ore resources supplies for MMK in 2000-2009 (kt)
- Table 65: Commodity pattern of MMK's exports in 2000-2009 (kt)
- Table 66: Georgaphic pattern of MMK exports in 2001-2009, %
- Table 67: MMK's exports, broken by the main country-consumer and products in 2000-2009 (kt)
- Table 68: Market positions of MMK in production of high-value-added products in Russia in 2009
- Table 69: ncome-losses reports of MMK in 2000-2008, mln Rubles
- Table 70: Pattern of MMK costs in 2000-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 71: Labor Productivity in MMK in 2004-2009
- Table 72: Emissions of major pollutants into the atmosphere and discharge
- Table 73: The most significant environmental activities carried out in 2009
- Table 74: The greatest shareholders of JSC MMK in 2005-2009 (stakes above 5% of authorized capital of the company)
- Table 75: NLMK Group Structure
- Table 76: Output of the main ferrous metallurgy products at NLMK in 1998-2009 by year, kt
- Table 77: The main suppliers of raw materials to NLMK in 2000-2009, kt
- Table 78: Domestic sales of NLMK in 2000-2009, kt
- Table 79: Dynamics of NLMK exports by product in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 80: Pattern of Costs of JSC «NLMK», mln Rubles
- Table 81: Labor Productivity in NLMK
- Table 82: Large shareholders of JSC «NLMK»
- Table 83: Income-losses report of NLMK in 2000-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 84: Mechel output of rolled steel by products for 1998-2009, kt
- Table 85: Suppliers of raw materials for ChMK in 1998 and 2003-2009, kt
- Table 86: Breakage of ChMK's Sales by Commodity Group in 2009, t, %
- Table 87: Large domestic consumers of JSC Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Works in 2008-2009, t
- Table 88: Incomes-losses report of ChMK in 2000-2009, mln Rubles

- Table 89: Costs of ChMK in 2000-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 90: Personnel and Wages in 1998-2009
- Table 91: Key performance indicators to be achieved after the implementation of technical activities of the Development Program of JSC «ChMK»
- Table 92: OEMK production of crude steel and rolled steel products in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 93: The greatest domestic customers of OEMK's products in 2007-2009, kt
- Table 94: OEMK exports broken by product in 2006-2009, kt
- Table 95: JSC «OEMK»'s exports by product by regional market in 2006-2009, %*
- Table 96: Income-losses report of OEMK for 1998-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 97: Dynamics of the atmospheric emission of OEMK (by pollutant) in 2006-2009, kt
- Table 98: Personnel and wages in 1999-2009
- Table 99: Number of OEMK employees by category in 2008-2009
- Table 100: Funding for social programs of OEMK in 2008-2009, mln rubles
- Table 101: Investments of JSC «OEMK" in 2006-2009, Mln rubles
- Table 102: Manufacture of the main ferrous metallurgy products at Ural Steel in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 103: Supplies of iron ore resources to JSC «Ural Steel» in 2005-2009, kt
- Table 104: Greatest domestic customers of JSC «Ural Steel»'s rolled steel in 2007-2009, kt
- Table 105: Pattern of costs of JSC «Ural Steel» in 2005-2009, thousand Rubles
- Table 106: Personnel and wages in Ural Steel in 1998-2009
- Table 107: Income-losses report of Ural Steel in 1998-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 108: Structure of Evraz Group S.A.
- Table 109: NTMK products output, broken by kind for 1998-2009, kt
- Table 110: Suppliers of raw materials to NTMK in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 111: Basic domestic customers of JSC «NTMK»'s products in 2007-2009, kt
- Table 112: Dynamics of NTMK exports for 1998-2009, kt
- Table 113: List of certificates on a voluntary certification, being in force at JSC «NTMK as of 07.02 2010
- Table 114: Income-losses report of NTMK in 1998-2009, mln. Rubles
- Table 115: Environmental Action Plan of JSC «NTMK» for 2010
- Table 116: Costs of NTMK in 1998-2009 (1998-2001 and 2007-2009 in mln Rubles; 2002-2006 in %
- Table 117: Personnel and Wages in 1998-2009
- Table 118: Production volumes of ZapSib by product in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 119: Key suppliers of raw materials to ZapSib in 2001-2009 (kt)
- Table 120: ZapSib's Exports for 1998-2009, kt
- Table 121: Income-losses report of ZapSib in 1998-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 122: Pattern of costs of ZapSib in 1999-209, mln Rubles
- Table 123: ZapSib personnel and wages in 1998-2009
- Table 124: Production volumes of NKMK by product in 1998-2009, kt
- Table 125: Suppliers of iron ore resources to NKMK in 2005-2009, kt
- Table 126: Sales volumes of NKMK metal at domestic and foreign markets in 2009, t, thous. rubles
- Table 127: Income-losses report of KMK/NKMK in 2003-2009, mln Rubles
- Table 128: Plan for upcoming projects, capital investments of JSC «NKMK" in 2010, thous. rubles
- Table 129: List of environmental measures, implemented at JSC NKMK in 2009 (costs in thousand Rubles exclusive of VAT)
- Table 130: Pattern of Costs of NKMK in 1998-2009
- Table 131: Personnel and wages at NKMK in 1998-2009

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Map of the location of the greatest steelmaking capacities in Russian Federation
- Figure 2: Dynamics of average release prices on iron ore concentrate and pellets in 2002-2010, Ruble/t exclusive of VAT
- Figure 3: Dynamics of Domestic (Release) Prices for Steelmaking Iron in Russia in 2002-2010, Ruble/t
- Figure 4: Average annual Russian export prices on steelmaking iron in 2001-2010 (FOB Black Sea ports) (\$/t)
- Figure 5: Dynamics of domestic and export supplies of ferrous scrap in Russia in 1985-2009, mln tonnes
- Figure 6: Dynamics of Russian exports of semis in 1998-2009, kt
- Figure 7: Dynamics of Russian exports of wire rod in 1998-2009, kt
- Figure 8: Dynamics of Russian exports of bar and rebar in 1998-2009, kt
- Figure 9: Dynamics of Russian exports of sections in 1998-2009, kt
- Figure 10: Dynamics of Russian exports of HR flat steel in 1998-2009, Kt
- Figure 11: Dynamics of Russian exports of CR flat steel in 1998-2009, Kt
- Figure 12: Dynamics of Russian exports of coated sheet (flat steel) in 1998-2009, Kt
- Figure 13: Dynamics of apparent consumption of rolled steel in Russia, broken by type of the products, in 1998-2009, kt
- Figure 14: Index of volume of works and domestic steel consumption in Russian building industry in 1996-2009, mln tonnes
- Figure 15: Dynamics of production of steel wall and roof panels (thousand sq m) and building structures (kt) in Russia in 1998-2009
- Figure 16: Railage supplies of Severstal rolled steel to large pipe producers in 2006-2009, kt
- Figure 17: Monthly supplies of rolled steel by JSC «Severstal» to Vyksa MP in 2006-2009, kt
- Figure 18: Pattern of exports of Severstal by region of the world in 2007, 2008 and 2009 (%)
- Figure 19: Pattern of capital investments of JSC Severstal Group in 2007-2011, %
- Figure 20: Crude Steel production of JSC «MMK» in 2000-2009 (mln t), and the Works Share in Total Steel Production in Russia(%)
- Figure 21: Dynamics of MMK commodity products output, kt, by product, % y-o-y
- Figure 22: Iron production by JSC «MMK» in 2000-2009 (mln t), MMK's share in total iron production in Russia (%)
- Figure 23: Finished rolled steel production by JSC «MMK» in 2000-2009 (mln t), MMK's share in total finished rolled steel production in Russia (%)
- Figure 24: Pattern of commodity products output in JSC «MMK» in 2004-2009, kt
- Figure 25: Geographic pattern of coal concentrate supplies to JSC MMK in 2007-2009 (%)
- Figure 26: Pattern of MMK revenues by markets (domestic/export) in 2004-2009, %
- Figure 27: Sectoral pattern of MMK's domestic sales in 2006-2009, %
- Figure 28: Leading domestic customers of MMK in 2009 (kt of steel products)
- Figure 29: Regional pattern of domestic sales (by Federal District of Russia) of JSC «MMK» in 2009, %
- Figure 30: Dynamics of atmospheric emissions of MMK in 2005-2009, kt, kg/t
- Figure 31: Domestic sales of NLMK in 2002-2009, mln t*
- Figure 32: Sectoral structure of consumption of NLMK's rolled steel at the domestic market in 2009, %
- Figure 33: Regional pattern of NLMK domestic sales in 2007-2009, %
- Figure 34: Dynamics of NLMK's products (including iron) exports in 2002-2009, mln t
- Figure 35: Geographic pattern of NLMK's exports in 2009, %
- Figure 36: Geographic pattern of NLMK's HR flats exports in 2009 by country, %
- Figure 37: Geographic pattern of NLMK's CR flats exports in 2009 by country, %
- Figure 38: Geographic pattern of NLMK's rolled steel exports in 2009 by country, %

- Figure 39: Pattern of NLMK exports by kind of products in 2007-2009, %
- Figure 40: NLMK Group's environmental investments in 2002-2009, \$ mln*
- Figure 41: Dynamics of gross atmospheric emission of NLMK in 2000-2009, kt
- Figure 42: Dynamics of NLMK's activity impact on water basins in 2000-2009, kt
- Figure 43: Geographic pattern of semis exports of JSC «Ural Steel» by country in 2003, 2008 and 2009, %
- Figure 44: Pattern of NTMK's domestic sales (including billet and iron) by Federal District of Russia in 2007 and 2009, %
- Figure 45: NTMK Prime Cost Pattern in 2009, %
- Figure 46: Geographic Pattern of Domestic Sales of JSC ZapSib in 2004 and 2009, %
- Figure 47: Sectoral Pattern of Domestic Sales of JSC ZapSib in 2009, %
- Figure 48: The dynamics of change in average wages at JSC ZapSib in 2009, rubles
- Figure 49: Dynamics of domestic shipment of rails and rail fastening by JSC "NKMK" in 2003-2009, thous. t
- Figure 50: Regional Pattern of NKMK's sales in Russia in 2006-2009, %
- Figure 51: Share of exports in NKMK's production in 2006-2009 by product (%)

Part I. Standing of Ferrous Metallurgy of the Russian Federation

Introduction

Russia is one of leading producers of steel in the world. In latest years, Russia was traditionally the 4th greatest producer of steel in the world (after Chine, Japan and USA). But in 2009 most of country-steelmakers, except for China, India, Iran and some other sharply decreased steel output. On results of 2009, Russia became the 3rd greatest producer of steel in the world (after China and Japan), but on results of 8 months of 2010, Russia became the 5th (after USA (the 3rd place) and India (the 4th) in addition).

Around 3.3% of trade turnover in the country and 5.3% of proceeds from export sales of Russia belonged to Russian ferrous metallurgy sector in 2009 (4% and 4.9%, respectively, in 2008).

Until recently, share of state in pattern of ownership in the sector was below 2%. But in 2007-2009, the share began to increase. For instance, State Corporation Russpetsstal' acquired Volgograd metallurgical plant Krasny Oktyabr'. In conditions of the global economic crisis, some Russian metallurgical companies will possibly be incapable to pay off taken credits (often from State-controlled banks – Bank of Savings (Sberbank), VTB and so on)) and, thus, their shares (partially and completely), being in pledge for the credits, may change to state ownership.

For instance this fate was expected to become actual for some enterprises of holding company Estar. There was a possibility of change of JSC Zlatoust metallurgical plant under control of the Russspetsstal'; but actually the Zlatoust plant was acquired by Mechel Group.

The Government introduced some restrictions of imports of many types of rolled steel, for instance, for building sector.

Notice that, in spite of weak domestic demand for steel products, rather active exports of the products allowed leading Russian steelmakers to earn money and to survive under impact of the global financial-economic crisis.

Until recently (even in early 2000s), the features of standing of Russian ferrous metallurgy as a whole were as follows:

- Improper grade and competitiveness of production owing to availability of outdated and worn productive facilities;
 - Too high material and power consumption of the production;
- Misbalance between distinct branches of ferrous metallurgy sector, aggravated by breaking economic ties between enterprises of the ex-USSR countries;
- Extremely unfavorable environmental situation around metallurgical enterprises.

The main negative tendencies, making themselves evident in Russian ferrous metallurgy in early 1990s, to a large extent arose from features of Soviet economy, in which political reasons dominated over economic ones. For instance, the task was

become the greatest producer of iron and steel in the world, to construct the largest in capacity steel-making facilities, etc. As the result, great steel-making facilities proved located irregularly; in addition, they, as rule, were closely specialized in distinct products.

Resulted disproportion in production and consumption of steel by territories caused too high transport costs, too complicated power and transport lines, surplus expanding auxiliary productions.

Soviet policy tending to only extensive production growth resulted in the fact that, by mid-1990s, productive capacities in Russian ferrous metallurgy proved extremely obsolete and worn owing to delay in production reconstruction and modernisation (degree of depreciation reached 60-70%).

That is why in 1990s Russian ferrous metallurgy was characterised by availability of obsolete and worn facilities, consuming too much power, fuel and raw materials - by 20-30% (in specific consumption) more than in developed countries.

According to German consulting company UBT/Wolfgang Ruoff&Partner, which analyzed standing of Russian ferrous metallurgy, the main problems of this sector were inefficient utilisation of productive capacities, obsolescence of facilities and high environmental damage from the operating facilities.

When changing to market economy, Russian ferrous metallurgy, being too large-scale, inertial, non-flexible, showed its inadequacy: instead of reducing production costs, the sector demonstrated increasing price on products, growing nonpayments and cutting production volume.

Low competitiveness of production of Russian steel-making sector was owed, first of all, by obsolescence of productive capacities and weak management.

At the same time, according to EU experts, advantages of Russian ferrous metallurgy are great resources base, availability of modern know-how in metallurgy (high level of scientific-research works), rather qualified and cheap working force, relatively low production costs. On the other hand, among its disadvantages are obsolescence and wearing of productive capacities, high specific consumption of power and resources and unfavourable location of steelmakers (too far from consumers).

In latest years, standing of Russian ferrous metallurgy began to change (to improve) considerably with changing ownership pattern: new owners increased investments in production development, modernising production facilities and improving grade and competitiveness of products (this is true, first of all, for great steelmaking companies, but in latest time for smaller enterprises too). For instance, the Russian steelmaking facilities depreciation (as a whole) decreased to around 48% owing realizing large-scale modernization projects in 2007 and further, to below 43% in 2009.

Greatest Russian metallurgical companies invested growing funds, especially in 2005-2007, in manufacture of high-value-added products, including coated steel, metalware and steel pipes. Nevertheless, even before the global crisis, when demand for high-value-added products increased steadily and sharply, share of semis in total Russian steel exports exceeded 50%.

To a large extent this is owed by restrictions (quotas, prohibiting import duties, etc.), imposed on supplies of the high-value-added products from Russia to markets of developed countries, first of all, EU and USA.

For instance, quota on supplies of Russian steel products to EU gradually increases, but remains discriminative and obviously too small. Question of abolition of the restrictions can be discussed after Russia will enter WTO.

Policy of developed countries is aimed at ousting Russian steelmakers from world market of high-value-added steel products – role offered for Russia is to be supplier of iron and cheap semis (production of which is restricted in the developed countries by strict environmental regulations).

1. Economic standing of Russian ferrous metallurgy

2009 became unique year for Russian metallurgy owing to a number of reasons, formed in conditions of the global financial-economic crisis:

- Increasing global demand for ferrous metals mainly for the second half of 2009 that owed gradual increasing domestic production of the metals;
- Some increasing prices on ferrous metals for January-first half of February (mainly owing to trader speculation expectations), followed by following decreasing for several months and then gradual growth by the end of 2009;
- Deepening impact of the global crisis in the first half-year and some improving the situation in the second half-year;
- Large debts of leading Russian steelmakers on previously taken credits and their need in state support and giving them the state support;
- Sharp worsening financial results of Russian steelmakers in Q4 2008 and early 2009 and gradual improving the financial results by the end of 2009;
- Keeping low demand and prices on steel products at domestic market for 2009;
- Introducing custom restrictions in Russia on imports of some steel products (for instance, the products for building sector) measures in favor of domestic steelmakers.

For the first time Russian ferrous metallurgy faced large-scale crisis in 1996 after considerable worsening world conjuncture of rolled steel, aggravated by introducing "currency corridor" in Russia (fixing top and bottom levels of fluctuation of RR/USD exchange rate). As a result, the sector profitableness dropped from 22% in 1995 to 5% in 1996 and 3.6% in 1997.

To stabilise financial standing, Russian steelmakers increased domestic prices on rolled steel; shortage of working capital was compensated by taking credits from resources suppliers; in addition, share of barter transactions increased considerably. But this all did not allow to overcome crisis radically, and in 1996-1997, most of the steelmakers faced sharp decline in their working capital, and their financial standing became unstable.

In early 1998, the situation was aggravated by weakening export sales owing to financial crisis in South-East Asia. Volume of sales was partially kept by reorientation of the export to North America.

In 1998, on the whole, financial standing of the steelmakers was unsatisfactory, that was connected with nonpayments for shipped production, too high consumption of resources, fuel, power owing to high wearing and obsolescence of facilities, aggravated by high credit interest rate. In 1998 a share of unprofitable enterprises increased from 42% in 1997 to 45% in 1998, and, among unprofitable enterprises, most of greatest Russian steelmakers proved: NLMK, ZapSib, NKMK, NTMK, NOSTA, Mechel, OEMK. Notice, however, that this was partially owed by the fact,

that negative difference in rates of exchange, arisen in the 3rd - 4th quarters (Q3-4) of 1998 owing to falling Ruble/USD exchange rate, were included in structure of losses.

Devaluation of Rubles after August 1998 crisis resulted in sharp rise of effectiveness of export of steel and rolled steel. In addition, domestic demand for rolled steel also increased owing too high growth of prices in rubles on similar foreign products. On the results of 1998, share of unprofitable steelmakers were around 45%, but in 1999 and 2000 the share decreased to 28.3% and 28.1%, respectively. From Russian Goskomstat (Russian Statistic committee or Russian Federal Statistic Service (Rosstat) now) data, profitableness of the sector as a whole was 38.7% in 1998, and 29.9% and 29.1% in 1999 and 2000, respectively.

For 1999-2000, financial standing of most of the greatest Russian steelmakers estimated to be stable, their profitability remained high, although demonstrated downtrend.

In 2001, profitability of Russian steelmakers dropped in 1.5-2 times, whereas share of unprofitable companies increased again, to 37% of the total, that was connected, first of all, with increasing power, power resources and railage tariffs and reform of VAT. In early 2002, the profitability decreased further, in connection with the same reasons as in 2001, aggravated by unfavorable world market conjuncture (notice that around 55% of Russian steel products are exported). However, in the second half of 2002, improving the conjuncture produced positive effect on the profitability, which constituted, for 2002, 16.5% (average annual figure), that was higher than in developed countries and comparable only with profitability of developing markets, for instance, South America.

In 2003-2007, financial standing of Russian steelmakers, especially the greatest ones, was rather stable and favorable. Production profitability of the steelmaking sector was around 22% in 2003, 36% in 2004, 24% in 2005, 2006, 2007. Increasing world prices and volume of sales resulted in increasing profits of Russian steelmakers, which grew in 2006 in above 7.5 times compared with 2002 (cost of commodity products for the period grew about 3 times); in 2007, these tendencies remained in force.

In 2008, world prices on steel products reached historical peak in the beginning of the 2nd half of the year, demand steadily grew, and this all resulted in considerable improving financial results of the steelmakers in Q1-Q3 2008. But sharp drop of the demand and the prices in Q4 2008 owing to sharpening the global crisis owed considerable losses of the companies in Q4. Nevertheless, on the results of the whole 2008, the Russian steelmaking sector remained profitable, but the profitability decreased to 15%; at the same time, profit of the sector increased by 9% compared with 2007.

In 2008, world prices on steel products reached historical peak in the beginning of the 2nd half of the year, demand steadily grew, and this all resulted in considerable improving financial results of the steelmakers in Q1-Q3 2008. But sharp drop of the demand and the prices in Q4 2008 owing to sharpening the global crisis owed considerable losses of the companies in Q4. Nevertheless, on the results of the whole 2008, the Russian steelmaking sector remained profitable, but the profitability

decreased to 15%; at the same time, profit of the sector increased by 9% compared with 2007.

In 2009, situation in Russian economy was radically different compared with late 1990s.

Unlike the crisis of 1998, which was local - default with following great devaluation in Russia, which stimulated import substitution with corresponding development of local industries, and large increasing receipts in local currency (Ruble) from exports, that was also true for steelmakers, he current global financial/economic crisis produced much more destructive and heavy impact on Russian economy as a whole and steelmaking sector as its part. First, in 1998-1999, crisis was not global, and it was healthy demand for steel semis and products from developed western countries that allowed Russian steelmakers to survive and then to grow. On contrary, currently global (export) demand for steel is weak all over the world, maybe, except China, but the country actively develops own steelmaking industry, and will hardly provide stable long-term demand for Russian steel. Second, that time (1998) the Ruble devaluation was fast and deep -3-3.5 times for a month or slightly more, and actually launched both import substitution, i.e. created domestic demand, including for steel, and owed sharp growth of export revenues and profitability of exports (in Rubles) that improved considerably financial standing of Russian steelmakers. On contrary, the devaluation (in November 2008-January 2009) was around 40% only that was enough neither for development of import-substitution projects (with corresponding stimulation of domestic demand) nor for marked increasing export revenues of the steelmakers. Moreover, in 1998 (and this is true to a large extent for the whole 1990s, the governmental financial/economic policy was determined by honest charismatic leader – Boris Yel'tsin, and aimed, on the whole, at development of the country economy, and was implemented by high-qualified and decent persons (E. Gaydar, A. Chubais, S. Kirienko and other), and measures taken to overcome that crisis, were chosen and implemented in favor of Russia and its people (on the whole). On contrary, now, in the opinion of some experts, Russian financial/economic policy is determined and implemented by weak and lowcompetent persons and aimed, first of all, at surviving of oligarchic capital (because this is the same as the state now) - i.e. their policy is aimed at own surviving as authorities and keeping their money and power. So, for instance, huge financial support, declared to be allocated for "real sector" (i.e. actual production sector) more than 100 bln Rubles - got trapped in banks, which used these money not for crediting industrial enterprises, but for speculations at currency exchange. In addition, refinancing rate of Central Bank of Russia was raised in November 2008 up to 13%, decreased in April 2009 to 12.5% and remained above 10% until the end of 2009 that closed the way for cheap credit for industrial enterprises, which, as a result, faced shortage of working capital and investments for development. As a result, Russia received stagflation - high inflation - above 10% (in per annum equivalent), with great production setback (much above 10% (estimations vary in rather wide range) per annum. For comparison, all healthy authorities all over the world decreased refinancing rates to almost zero – to encourage development of domestic economy by

acceptability of cheap credits. Only in the end of 2009 the refinancing rate of Central Bank of Russia decreased slightly – to 8.75% and then to 7.75% in mid-2010.

Negative tendencies in Russian economy remained in force in the first half of 2009. By mid-2009, the situation was stabilized to some extent – more exactly, production setback was stabilised. In the first half of 2009, industrial production volume proved 85.2% of the level of H1 2008. Official governmental forecasts expected the industrial production setback in Russia in 2009 of around 11-13%, and the setback of 15-17% in manufacturing sector.

In August 2009 the Russian government announced completing recession in Russian economy. It is rather interesting that this positive evaluation of standing of the economy coincided with maximal monthly drop of power consumption in Russia of around 8.3% in July and 10.4% in August (8.2% in June) month-on-month. Notice that power consumption, especially in summer months, when share of communal utilities in the consumption is minimal, is rather reliable indicator of actual level of industrial production volume. The power consumption drop allowed to conclude that recession in Russian industry remained in progress.

In metallurgical industry and manufacture of finished metal items production volume in H1 2009 was 74% of the level of the same period of 2008. The government expected the greatest production setback in metallurgical industry, production of building materials and machine building sector in 2009.

In latest years (until mid-2008), favorable market conjuncture allowed Russian steelmakers to increase profitability of operation; share of the sector in total volume of profit of Russian industry grew from 6% in 2001 to 9.5% in 2002 and 16.5% in 2003; in 2005, the share reached 20%. In 2008, the share decreased to 17%. Share of unprofitable enterprises in Russian steelmaking sector remained rather large: for instance, around 40% by the end of 2003, 45% in the end of 2005. In December 2008, the share, in spite of the global crisis, was 31%, less then in previous years that was connected with profitable work during Q1-Q3 2008.

Notice that the growth of profit was demonstrated, first of all, by the greatest steelmakers, financial results of which in 2007-2009 are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Financial results of leading Russian Steelmakers in 2007-2009, mln Rubles

Company	Proceeds from sales		Pre-tax profit			Net profit			
Company	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009
MMK									
Severstal									
NLMK									
NTMK									
ZapSib									
Mechel									
NKMK									
Ural Steel									
OEMK									

Source: annual reports of the companies

The heaviest period for Russian steelmakers was Q4 2008 – Q1 2009, when all the steelmakers incurred losses. Only in Q2 2009 operation of a number of the enterprises became profitable again. Nevertheless, for the whole 2009, most of the leading steelmakers (except for MMK) decreased profit considerably compared with 2008, and some of them, for instance, JSC ChMK, proved in the red.

Among measures, encouraging development of Russian steelmaking sector, were abolishing of export duties on ferrous metals and introducing measures, restricting imports of some steel products, for instance, steel pipes from Ukraine, stainless flat steel from EU, etc, as well as subsidizing interest rates for crediting exporters of steel products, enlarging list of steel products, for which state warranties are provided; in addition, political support in negotiations with states and international organization was also provided by the Government. Besides, special protecting and anti-dumping probes were conducted to restrict imports of steel products, an special 15% preferences were given to domestic steelmakers in governmental purchases.

To support investment activity in the sector, the government abolished import duties and VAT on unique in Russia production facilities, provided acceleration of export VAT refunding process, provided support and state guaranties in taking credits by steelmakers, etc.

For instance, state guaranty to the sum of 30.75 bln Rubles was given to LLC MC Metalloinvest (managing Lebedinsky GOK, Mikhailovsky GOK, Ural Steel, OEMK) in taking credit of 61.5 bln Rubles.

In January 2009, 15% import duty was imposed on hot-rolled rebar (TNVED Codes 7213914100, 7213917000 and 7213991000). But even strengthening measures on protection of domestic market of steel from imports (import duties were introduced for a number of steel-rolled products) could not improve standing of Russian steelmakers – owing to sharp drop of domestic demand for steel. Namely, in January 2009 rolled steel consumption in Russia dropped 50% year-on-year to 1.65 mln t; production of rolled steel decreased 34% y-o-y, exports – by 17% y-o-y, and imports by 66% y-o-y. In February the consumption slightly increased month-onmonth, but in March dropped again 10% m-o-m.

By mid-2009 the situation at domestic market was slightly improved, and even in Q3 2009 actual signs of improving demand for steel products arose. Nevertheless, on results of the whole 2009, domestic consumption of steel in Russia decreased almost 8 mln t compared with 2008 to around 25.3 mln t of finished rolled steel.

Until recently, one of the most serious problems of the sector was also too heavy taxation. Among the heaviest taxes were profit tax and estate tax, constituted together above 60% of profit (notice that in develop countries profit tax is below 30-40%). Finally this resulted in shortage of working capital of steelmakers and arising tax debts and, that is the most important decrease competitiveness of the enterprises production. Fortunately, in latest time, the government decreased the profit tax from 35% to 20% (as of early 2009) and optimized taxation system to facilitate capital investments. Overall taxation of Russian steelmakers decreased.

Another problem is difference between Russian and Western bookkeeping (accounting) standards. To overcome this problem, currently, with support of TACIS program, Russian steelmakers are shifting to Western bookkeeping (IAS) that will allow making all costs transparent and comparable with western ones. From InfoMine data, all leading Russian steelmakers, including Severstal, Magnitogorsk (MMK) and Novolipetsk (NLMK) have already shifted to Western bookkeeping standards.

Growing tariffs of natural monopolies (suppliers of natural gas, power and railage services) remains a serious problem, hindering development of Russian steelmaking sector. Share of the tariffs in prime cost of the steelmakers increases steadily, and, in fact, growth of the tariffs practically neutralized positive effect of the Ruble devaluation of 1998.

In 2003, the natural monopolies tariffs increased again. For instance, railage tariffs provided profitability of domestic railage up to 250% (!).

Notice that metallurgy is one of the greatest consumers of natural monopolies products: 20% of railage, 32% of consumed power, 10% of oil and oil products and 25% of natural gas consumption belong to the metallurgy. But permanent active growth of rates of prices and tariffs on the natural monopolies products and services results in increasing prime costs of Russian steelmakers and decreasing profitability of their products and competitiveness, correspondingly.

Experts of the Governmental Center of Economic Conjuncture distinguish 4 periods in price policy of the natural monopolies for 1992-2005. In 1992-1994, rates of growth of the tariffs outstripped considerably those of release prices of industrial producers; in 1995-August 1998, the rates were in equilibrium; until 2000, the rates of natural monopolies tariffs were restricted by administrative measures; and, since 2000, the tariffs outstrips the release prices again, same to early 1990s situation.

Only in 2006 prices on steel products grew at higher rate - by 27% y-o-y, than rates of the prices on the natural monopolies services (power, railage, natural gas, etc.), which were around 9-10%. In 2007 the prices on power and power resources outstripped again the prices on rolled steel – 18% (power) versus 8%. In 2008, the situation remained the same - the prices on power and power resources and railage outstripped again the prices on rolled steel (in spite of considerable growth of the latters).

Similarly, in 2009, the power and railage tariffs growth rates outstripped the prices on rolled steel rates: above +20% for power, +10.1% for railage against +4.1% for steel products (for December 2009 y-o-y; notice in addition that the December 2008 level was very low)

Russian steelmakers voice complaints to monetary policy of the Government, consider Central Bank of Russia, instead of placing its reserves (much above \$100 bln) at international market (for instance, in US treasuries), could invest them in domestic economy; they also offer to invest budget proficite in the economy instead of freezing it at special accounts (as future security fund). Notice that these are rather dubious complaints, with fallible arguments, according to independent experts, not affiliated with owners of Russian steelmaking industry. Besides, the government can

not introduce effective measures to restrict removal of capital from Russia and to attract savings of people for internal investments.

In 2003 the government decreased rate of Central Bank credit (refinancing rate) from 21% to 12% that encouraged investments in Russian metallurgy modernisation. Further, until February 3, 2008, the rate decreased to 10%, but then the rate growth began again, to 13% since December 2008. Slight decreasing this share to 12% by mid-2009 did not make credits more acceptable for Russian enterprises, including metallurgical ones. Impossibility of taking credits depresses development of Russian industry and, in turn, depresses domestic demand for steel.

Considerable progress of Russian ferrous metallurgy was mainly based on sharp increasing investments in production modernisation in latest years until 2009 (at that, 80% of the investments were based on operational profit and depreciation funds). The capex in ferrous metallurgy of Russia reached peak in 2006 and 2007 – around 125 and 128 bln Rubles, respectively – in around 5.5 times above the 2000 level. In 2008, the global crisis resulted in sharp decreasing volume of the investments in the 2nd half of (most of investment projects was postponed). Total capex in 2008 reached peak level for the latest years – 199 bln rubles, but this was connected with high investment activity in the first half (H1) of 2008 and considerable devaluation of Russian ruble (against USD and Euro) in H2 2008. In 2009, the capex sum was 159 bln rubles (at Ruble/USD exchange rate considerably below the level of mid-2008).

All leading Russian steelmakers launched large-scale investment programs (funded both internally and from loans), aimed at modernizing production up to the most modern world level. This activity is to be accelerated taking into account forthcoming entering Russia to WTO. The steelmakers scheduled the development (investment) programs up to up to 2010-2012, 2015 or even 2020, and the large planned investments require correction of state depreciation policy (rates) to stimulate capital investments. For this purpose, the steelmakers offer also to optimize system of VAT return in capital construction and to abolish customs import duties on key equipment, not produced in Russia, and to introduce a number of amendments in Tax Code of Russia, concerning:

Introducing profit-tax-free period of 3-5 years since commissioning new productive capacities;

Restoring preferences in tax on profit, spent on capital construction;

Introducing differentiated rates of tax on mining mineral resources.

For instance, JSC MMK program, scheduled up to 2020, costs up to \$10 bln, only in 2007 the investments exceeded R22 bln. But at present time most of MMK's projects has been frozen or postponed; other Russian steelmakers proved in the same situation. For instance, construction of Prioskol'sky GOK (basic project for or\provision of the Works with iron ore resources) was postponed. Nevertheless, other key projects, for instance, the 5000 mm Mill construction, were in progress even in the most difficult period of late-2008-early 2009.

Similar situation took place at other steelmaking Works. But since mid-2009 and in H1 2010 the investment activity began to grow again, with resuming -re-

launching postponed projects, including construction of new mini-plants notice that number of the mini-plants to be constructed now even increased).

In 2002, a State Concept of development of Russian metallurgy was elaborated; by now, similar Development Concept up to 2020 have been prepared, but actual activity is not aimed at the sector development as yet. The new Concept provides for steelmaking volume of 90 mln tpy by 2020, but this requires marked increasing domestic demand, but no actual prerequisites for this are available as yet.

2. Analysis of production and capacities utilisation

From political reasons, USSR steadily increased output of steel and rolled steel, constructed new enterprises, commissioned new capacities. By 1990, USSR production of steel and rolling steel increased to almost 90m (mln) tonnes and 64m tonnes, respectively (Table 2). At the same time, according to experts, steel and rolled steel production and consumption in the USSR was surplus, estimated up to 40% of total production.

The USSR breakage, shift to market economy, collapse in defense industry resulted in sharp setback in steel and rolled steel production and consumption, that was aggravated by breaking previous economic ties between enterprises of the ex-USSR.

We consider the 1990-1994 setback in steel production was connected with overall setback in production in steel-consuming sectors. Later, with development of economic reforms, availability of solvent demand for steel became the main acting factor. Possibly this owes wave-like dynamics of steel and rolled steel output in Russia in 1994-1999.

Considerable increasing steel and rolled steel output in Russia in 1999 was connected with both high profitableness of export and improving domestic demand for rolled steel. In 2000, output of steel and rolled steel continued to grow, but in 2001 rate of the growth dropped considerably. This is largely owed by worsening standing of Russian steelmakers at world market and saturation in domestic market.

In early 2002, further decreasing rate of growth of steel products output happened in connection with introducing anti-dumping measures at export markets and worsening domestic market conjuncture. However, in mid-2002, abolishing a number of the anti-dumping measures and improving domestic market conjuncture owing to steps, taken by the government, the situation was improved, and overall output of steel products in 2002 exceeded that of 2001 by 3% (below the growth rate of 1999-2001. Further growth of the production was hindered by weakening domestic demand.