



InfoMine 

r e s e a r c h g r o u p

Union of Independent Experts in the Field of Mineral Resources, Metallurgy
and Chemical Industry in the CIS

Natural Fine-Graded Calcium Carbonate (GCC, chalk, microcalcite) Market Research in the CIS

The 3rd Edition (Revised)

Sample PDF

*Moscow
August, 2010*

CONTENTS

ANNOTATION	10
INTRODUCTION.....	12
1. GCC World Market Brief Appraisal	16
2. Reserves and Deposits of the Raw Materials for Production of GCC in the CIS Countries	18
2.1. Russia.....	18
2.2 Ukraine	23
2.3. Kazakhstan.....	25
2.4. Byelorussia	27
3. GCC Production Technology and Processing Equipment Potential Suppliers	32
3.1. GCC Production Technology	32
1. Chalk Drying Site	35
2. Chalk Reduction and Separation Assemblies	35
3. Secondary Separation.....	35
4. Hydrophobization Site	36
5. Silos.....	36
6. Automation and Control	36
3.2. Equipment Potential Suppliers	38
IVA Industrieberatung GmbH, Germany	38
Micron Proces, Spain	38
NP ODO Lamel-777, Byelorussia	38
4. GCC Production in the CIS Countries	40
4.1. Quality of Production being manufactured	40
4.2. The CIS Countries GCC Production Data in 2000-2009	52
4.2.1. GCC Production in Russia	53
4.2.1.1. GCC-chalk Key Manufactures.....	56
<i>OJSC Melstrom (Belgorod Region)</i>	56
<i>CJSC Ruslime (Belgorod Region)</i>	64
<i>CJSC Shebekino Chalk Plant (Belgorod Region)</i>	69
<i>OJSC "Building materials" (Belgorod Region)</i>	79
<i>CJSC Kopanishchensk Construction Materials Integrated Plant (Voronezh Region)</i>	85
<i>OJSC Balakovorezintekhnika (Saratov Region)</i>	88
<i>OJSC "Stoylensky GOK" (Belgorod Region)</i>	93
<i>LLC Domedco Haksli (Voronezh Region)</i>	95
<i>Other Producers</i>	98
<i>LLC Poligon-Service (Belgorod region)</i>	98
<i>LLC Melprom - Industrial and Production Complex (Belgorod region)</i>	100
4.2.1.2. Microcalcite Key Maker Enterprises	101
<i>CJSC Koelga-mramor (Cheliabinsk Region)</i>	101
<i>LLC RIF Micromramor (Chelyabinsk region)</i>	108
<i>CJSC Geocom (Kaluga region)</i>	109
<i>LLC OMIA Ural (Chelyabinsk Region)</i>	116
<i>CJSC "Uraltalk" (Chelyabinsk Region)</i>	117
<i>LLC Microcalcite (Chelyabinsk Region)</i>	122
<i>CJSC Baikalpromkamen (Irkutsk Region)</i>	129
<i>Other Enterprises</i>	129
<i>CJSC Baikal minerals (Irkutsk Region)</i>	129

LLC Prommol (Leningrad Region).....	130
4.2.1.3. GCC Production Organization Projects.....	131
4.2.2. GCC Production in Ukraine	132
4.2.2.1. GCC Key Maker Enterprises in Ukraine	134
LLC Slavyansk Industrial Union – Soda (Donetsk Region).....	134
CJSC "Novgorod-Seversky Plant of Building Materials" (Chernigov Region).....	136
OJSC Slavyansk Chalk-Lime Plant (Donetsk Region).....	137
OJSC Berezan APP Nedra (Berezan, Kiev Region)	140
OJSC Volcheyarovsky Lime Open-cast Mine (Lugansk Region).....	141
4.2.3. GCC Production in Kazakhstan	144
4.2.3.1. Major Producers of GCC	145
PC Zhambylgips (Zhambyl Region).....	145
LLP Zhartas (Alma-Ata Region).....	145
LLP Kurylys Zhanar (Jhambyl region).....	146
4.2.3.2. GCC Production Organization Projects	147
4.2.4. CCG Production in Byelorussia	147
5. GCC Foreign Trade Operations in 2004-2009	148
5.1. Foreign Trade Operation by Russia.....	148
5.1.1. Trends and Peculiarities in GCC Foreign Supplies by RF	150
5.1.2. Key Avenues of GCC Foreign Supplies by RF	156
5.2. Foreign Trade Operations by Ukraine.....	160
5.2.1. Volume, Trends and Peculiarities in GCC Export-Import	160
5.2.2. Key Avenues of GCC Foreign Supplies	164
5.3. Foreign Trade Operations by Kazakhstan	167
6. GCC Price Review	171
6.1. Review of Chalk Domestic Prices in RF in 2003-2009 and 1st half of 2010	171
6.2. Dynamics in GCC Export-Import Prices in 2004-2009	173
6.2.1. Dynamics in GCC Export-Import Prices in Russia.....	173
6.2.2. Dynamics in GCC Export-Import Prices in Ukraine	178
6.2.3. Dynamics of GCC Import Prices in Kazakhstan	180
7. Consumption of GCC	182
7.1. GCC Consumption Balance (Russia, Ukraine, Kazakhstan)	182
7.1.1. GCC Consumption Balance in Russia - 2004-2009	182
7.1.2. GCC Consumption Balance in Ukraine - 2004-2009.....	186
7.1.3. GCC Consumption Balance in Kazakhstan - 2004-2009.....	188
7.2. GCC Consumption Structure in Russia.....	190
7.3. Case Study and Development Prospect for GCC Consuming Industries in Russia.....	195
7.3.1. Construction and Paintwork Materials Industry.....	195
7.3.2. Chemical Industry	200
7.3.3. Pulp-and-Paper Industry	203
8. GCC Production and Consumption Outlook in Russia for a Period until 2015	205
Appendix1. Address Book for Main GCC Producers in the CIS.....	208
Appendix2. Process Layout for Fine-graded Chalk Manufacturing Plant.....	211

List of Tables

- Table 1: Fine-graded Chalk World Prices in 2006-2010
Table 2: Geography of Chalk Balance Reserves in Russia
Table 3: Major Deposits of Chalk in Russia
Table 4: Reserves and Deposits of Chalk in Ukraine
Table 5: Reserves and Deposits of Chalk in Kazakhstan
Table 6: Reserves and Deposits of Chalk in Byelorussia
Table 7: Chemical Composition of Chalk from Developing and Reserve Deposits of Byelorussia
Table 8: Marks, Sorts and Area of Application of Chalk Being Produced in Russia and the CIS countries
Table 9: Comparative Properties of Chalk Marks Used in Pulp-and-Paper Industry
Table 10: Comparative Properties of Chalk Marks Used in Paintwork Materials Industry
Table 11: Comparative Properties of Chalk Marks Used in Manufacturing of Construction and Finishing Materials (dry composites, crack fillers etc)
Table 12: Comparative Properties of Chalk Marks Used in Manufacturing of Industrial Rubber Article, Polymers and Plastic Mass
Table 13: Comparative Properties of Chalk Marks Used in Glass and Ceramic Industries
Table 14: GCC Production in the CIS Countries in 2000-2009, 000 ton
Table 15: GCC Production in Russia in 2000-2009, 000 ton
Table 16: OJSC Melstrom Made Chalk Quality Metrics
Table 17: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for Chalk from OJSC Melstrom in 2005-2009, ton
Table 18: Regional Structure of Export for Chalk from OJSC Melstrom in 2000-2009, ton
Table 19: OJSC Melstrom Chalk Production Price-list as of June, 15 2010
Table 20: OJSC Ruslime Made Chalk Quality Metrics
Table 21: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for GCC from OJSC Ruslime in 2005-2009
Table 22: OJSC Ruslime Regional Structure of GCC Export in 2000-2005, ton
Table 23: CJSC Shebekino Chalk Plant Made Chalk Quality Metrics
Table 24: CJSC Shebekino Chalk Plant Chalk Volumes and Avenues of Delivery in 2005-2009, ton
Table 25: CJSC Shebekino Chalk Plant Regional Structure of Chalk Exports in 2000-2009, ton
Table 26: Some Financial Indicators for CJSC Shebekino Chalk Plant in 2006-2009
Table 27: OJSC Building Materials Made Chalk Quality Metrics
Table 28: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for Chalk from OJSC Building Materials in 2005-2009, ton
Table 29: OJSC Building Materials Regional Structure of Chalk Exports in 2005-2009, ton
Table 30: OJSC Building Materials Chalk Production Price-list as of June 1 2010

- Table 31: Some Financial Indicators for OJSC Building Materials in 2004-2009, million ruble, %
- Table 32: Chalk Quality Metrics from Technical Dispersed Process at CJSC Kopanishensk Construction Materials Integrated Plant
- Table 33: Chalk Quality Metrics from Separation Process at CJSC Kopanishensk Construction Materials Integrated Plant
- Table 34: Quality Metrics of GCC from OJSC Balakovorezinotechnica
- Table 35: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for Chalk from OJSC Balakovorezinotechnica in 2005-2009, ton
- Table 36: Some Financial Indicators for OJSC Balakovorezinotechnica in 2005-2009 and 1st Quarter of 2010
- Table 37: Quality Metrics of GCC Made at OJSC Stoylensk GOK
- Table 38: Chemical Composition of Chalk from Krupennikovsk Deposit
- Table 39: Mineralogical Composition of Chalk from Krupennikovsk Deposit
- Table 40: Quality Metrics of Fine-graded Chalks Produced at LLC Demedco Hacsley
- Table 41: Features of Chalk Production from LLC Poligon-Service
- Table 42: Chemical Composition of Marble from Koelginskoye Deposit
- Table 43: Quality Metrics of GCC from CJSC Koelga-mramor (TU-5716-001-12574404-2006)
- Table 44: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for Chalk Produced at CJSC Koelga-mramor in 2006-2009, ton
- Table 45: Chemical Composition of GCC from CJSC Geocom
- Table 46: Quality Metrics of GCC “Standard” Series from CJSC Geocom
- Table 47: Quality Metrics of GCC “Super” Series from CJSC Geocom
- Table 48: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for GCC Produced at CJSC Geocom in 2005-2009, ton
- Table 49: Quality Metrics of Production from CJSC Uraltalk
- Table 50: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for GCC Produced at CJSC Uraltalk in 2004-2009, ton
- Table 51: Quality Metrics of GCC Produced at LLC Microcalcite
- Table 52: Volumes and Avenues of Delivery for GCC Produced at LLC Microcalcite in 2005-2009, ton
- Table 53: Some Financial Indicators for OJSC Novokaolinovy GOK in 2005-2009 and 1st Quarter of 2010
- Table 54: Quality Metrics of GCC from CJSC Baikal Minerals
- Table 55: GCC Production in Ukraine, 2000-2009
- Table 56: Quality Metrics of Chalk Produced at LLC Slavyansk Industrial Union – Soda
- Table 57: Quality Metrics of Naturally Beneficiated Chalk Produced at CJSC Novgorod-Seversky Plant of Building Materials
- Table 58: Quality Metrics of Chalk Produced at OJSC Slavyansk Chalk-Lime Plant
- Table 59: Quality Metrics of GCC Produced at OJSC Berezan APP Nedra
- Table 60: Quality Metrics of GCC Being Produced at OJSC Volcheyarovsky Lime Open-cast Mine
- Table 61: Production of GCC in Kazakhstan in 2000-2009

- Table 62: GCC Export-Import in RF by FEACN Codes in 2004-2009 and during 3 months of 2010, 000 ton
- Table 63: Export Share in Total Volume of GCC Production in Russia during 2004-2009, %
- Table 64: Russian GCC Exporter Enterprises in 2005-2009, ton, %
- Table 65: Largest Russian Consumers of Imported GCC in 2006-2009, ton
- Table 66: Target Markets of Russian GCC Exports in 2004-2009, ton
- Table 67: Geographical Structure of Russian GCC Imports during 2004-2009, ton
- Table 68: GCC Export-Import in Ukraine by FEACN Codes during 2004-2009, ton
- Table 69: GCC Exports from Ukrainian Enterprises during 2005-2009, 000 ton, %
- Table 70: Imported GCC Ukrainian Largest Consumers in 2005-2009, 000 ton, %
- Table 71: Target Markets of Ukrainian GCC Exports in 2004-2009, 000, ton, %
- Table 72: Geographical Structure of Ukrainian GCC Imports during 2004-2009, ton
- Table 73: GCC Export-Import in Kazakhstan by FEACN Codes during 2004-2009, ton
- Table 74: Geography of Kazakhstan GCC Imports during 2004-2009, ton
- Table 75: Export Prices of Russian GCC Producers in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Table 76: Russian Average GCC Import Prices by Key Supplier Countries in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Table 77: Ukrainian Average GCC Import Prices by Key Supplier Countries in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Table 78: Kazakhstan Average GCC Import Prices by Key Supplier Countries in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Table 79: GCC Market Key Indicators for Russia in 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Table 80: GCC Market Key Indicators for Ukraine in 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Table 81: GCC Market Key Indicators for Kazakhstan in 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Table 82: Growth Rate of Production in Separate Branches of Russian Industry during 2000-2009, percentage against previous year
- Table 83: Building Contract Operations in Russia during 2000-2009 and 1st Quarter of 2010, billion rouble

Table of Figures

- Figure 1: Process Flow Sheet for Chalk Preparation Integrated Facility at OJSC Lebedinsky GOK
- Figure 2: Process Flow Sheet for Fine-graded Chalk and Lime Production at LLC Domedco Hucslly
- Figure 3: Dynamics of Chalk Production at OJSC Melstrom in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 4: Dynamics of Chalk Production at CJSC Ruslime in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 5: Dynamics of Chalk Production at OJSC Shebekino Chalk Plant in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 6: Dynamics of Chalk Production at OJSC Building Materials in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 7: Dynamics of Chalk Production at OJSC Balakovorezinotechnica in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 8: Dynamics of GCC Production at CJSC Koelga-mramor in 2005-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 9: Dynamics of GCC Production at CJSC Geocom in 2002-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 10: Dynamics of GCC Production at CJSC Uraltalk in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 11: Dynamics of GCC Production at LLC Microcalcite in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 12: Dynamics of Chalk Production at OJSC Slavyansk Chalk-Lime Plant in 2000-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 13: Dynamics of Foreign Trade Operations in Russia with GCC (in physical terms) during 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 14: GCC Export Commodity Composition of Russia in 2004-2009, %
- Figure 15: GCC Import Commodity Composition of Russia in 2004-2009, %
- Figure 16: Dynamics of Foreign GCC Trade Operations in Ukraine with (in physical terms) during 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 17: Ukraine GCC Import Commodity Composition in 2004-2009, %
- Figure 18: Dynamics of Foreign Trade Operations in Kazakhstan with GCC (in physical terms) during 2004-2009, ton
- Figure 19: GCC Import Commodity Composition of Ukraine in 2004-2009, %
- Figure 20: Dynamics of Average Prices for Chalk in Russia during 2003-2010 excluding VAT, ruble per ton by quarter
- Figure 21: Dynamics of Russian GCC Export Prices in 2004-2009 and during first 3 months of 2010, \$/ton
- Figure 22: Dynamics of Russian GCC Import Prices in 2004-2009 and during first 3 months of 2010, \$/ton
- Figure 23: Dynamics of Ukrainian GCC-chalk Export Prices in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Figure 24: Dynamics of Ukrainian GCC Import Prices in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Figure 25: Dynamics of Kazakhstan GCC Import Prices in 2004-2009, \$/ton
- Figure 26: Dynamics of GCC-Chalk Production, Apparent Consumption, Exports and Imports in Russia during 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 27: Dynamics of Microcalcite Production, Apparent Consumption, Exports and Imports in Russia during 2004-2009, 000 ton

- Figure 28: Dynamics and Structure of GCC Apparent Consumption in Russia during 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 29: Dynamics of GCC Production, Apparent Consumption, Exports and Imports in Ukraine during 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 30: Dynamics of GCC Production, Apparent Consumption, Exports and Imports in Kazakhstan during 2004-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 31: Fine-graded Chalk Branch Consumption Structure in Russia, 2009,%
- Figure 32: Microcalcite Consumption Sectoral Structure in Russia, 2009,%
- Figure 33: Fine-graded Chalk Consumption Regional Structure in Russia, 2009, %
- Figure 34: Microcalcite Consumption Regional Structure in Russia in 2009, %
- Figure 35: Dynamics of Paintwork Materials Production in Russia during 1995-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 36: Dynamics of Russian Plastic Mass Goods Production in 2005-2009, 000 ton
- Figure 37: Dynamics of Cardboard and Paper Production in Russia during 2000-2009, million ton
- Figure 38: Forecast for Chalk and Microcalcite Output in Russia up to 2015, 000 ton
- Figure 39: Forecast for Chalk and Microcalcite Consumption in Russia until 2015, 000 ton

ANNOTATION

The actual report is devoted to research of current situation in the market of natural fine-graded calcium carbonate (GCC) in the CIS and its development outlook. The Report consists of eight Sections, contains 214 pages, including 83 tables, 39 figures and 2 supplements.

The Report's First Section presents brief features of GCC World market. It gives assessment for output volume of this production, provides prices for chalk commodities in the World market.

The second chapter of the report is devoted to analysis of raw-material base for GCC manufacture in Russia, Ukraine, Kazakhstan and Byelorussia. This Section provides data in regard of balance stocks of chalk and marble, regional structure of resources location and characteristics of raw materials at certain deposits as well.

The Third chapter considers technological schemes of GCC manufacture being applied at present time, provides description and contact information with leading suppliers of equipment for manufacture of fine-graded products.

The Fourth chapter provides statistics over volumes of GCC output in the CIS countries and current condition of enterprises manufacturers, including information about time of existence of the enterprise, sources of the raw materials, applied production technologies, characteristics of manufacturing production and target markets. The Third Chapter further includes information in regard of existing projects on organization of GCC manufacture in the CIS countries.

The Fifth chapter of the report is devoted the analysis of GCC foreign trade operations by the CIS countries. It brings data on volumes of deliveries of this product, estimates regional structure of foreign trade operations, provides information in regard of directions and volumes of deliveries by leading exporters and importers of fine-graded chalk and a microcalcite.

The Sixth chapter of the report provides GCC price analysis. This section gives average prices of the Russian manufacturers of chalk; GCC of various marks factory gate prices at the enterprises. This section also analyses export-import prices for GCC.

The Seventh chapter is devoted to assumption of GCC consumption in Russia. It provides balance of "manufacture-consumption", evaluates regional and branch structure of consumption. The section also gives current state and prospects of development of key consuming branches.

The final, the Eighth Chapter of the Report, presents forecast for GCC output in Russia, as well as outlook for fine-graded chalk and microcalcite consumption until 2015.

Appendix 1 provides contact information from key producers of GCC in the CIS countries.

Appendix 2 includes technological flow sheet and equipment specification for engineering of process line to produce fine-graded chalk with capacity of 10 ton per hour Lamel-777 (Byelorussia).

This work is a "desk" study. As sources of information, we used data from Rosstat, Federal customs service of Russian Federation, Federal customs service of Russian Federation, official statistics of domestic railway transportation of Russian Federation, Goskomstat of Ukraine, the CIS countries (production indicators), State Customs Committee of Ukraine (data on foreign trade operations), Agencies for statistics in Republic of Kazakhstan (PK). In addition, we attracted data from industrial and regional press, annual and quarterly accounts of emitters of securities, Internet sites of enterprises, and information databases of enterprises, database of Infomine. Because cargo transportation motor transport is not subject to the obligatory statistical account in Russia, the present report presents data in regard of transportations just by railway.

INTRODUCTION

Natural Fine-Graded Calcium Carbonate (GCC – ground calcium carbonate, CaCO_3) proved to be carbonate-filling agent for manufacturing of various composite materials administered to composite mixes with the aim of lowering their cost and granting them certain performance properties.

In general, one could get fine-graded carbonate-filling agent from three main sources:

1. Development of chalk pit of *sedimentary* origin with further milling, purification and possible hydrophobization (GCC-chalk);
2. Exploitation of calcite open-cast mines of *metamorphic* origin with milling, purification and possible hydrophobization (GCC-microcalcite)
3. Artificial extraction by means of chemical sedimentation (Precipitated Calcium Carbonate - PCC).

Recently, ShengdaTech Inc. (China) company developed some method of extraction of NPCC – nano-precipitated calcium carbonate – from limestone. The new product finds application in polyethylene utilization.

Thus, GCC is natural calcium carbonate, and PCC with NPCC are synthetic products.

There are three facies used as initial raw materials in manufacture of natural carbonate fillers (GCC) – chalk, limestone and marble.

The *chalk* corresponds to loosely coherent sedimentary strata of biogene origin. *Limestone* is a more condensed against chalk rock. *Marble* is a product of natural recrystallization of chalk or limestone been exposed to high pressures and temperature.

It is the **chalk** that is most widely being applied in manufacture of GCC. It is due, first, to predominance of the Cretaceous period sediments in geological structure of earth crust. A powerful cretaceous belt stretches through the entire European continent, including the north of France, southern part of England, Poland; it passes through Ukraine, Russia and runs to Asia – Syria and Libyan Desert. Chalk stocks are almost unlimited in many European countries, countries of former CIS and in Russia.

Distinctive feature of this natural material lies with easiness in its extraction and treatment at rather low costs. Extraction and processing of chalk does not cause serious ecological infringements.

The chalks as raw materials for manufacture of GCC boast its advantages and suffer disadvantages. Advantages are – lower cost of excavation against other raw materials, and comparative looseness (on a scale of Moosa – 1). Later makes fillers, prepared with sedimentary chalk much more suitable from the point of view of wear of equipment, therefore technologists from many enterprises prefer this kind of fillers. This

raw material also is rather "pure". The content of calcium carbonate in chalk reaches 96-99 %; the impurities are clay, glauconite, and ferrous oxides. Content of non-carbonate rocks is insignificant, but they considerably complicate technology of extraction of high-quality cretaceous powder. As imperfection, it is worth mentioning comparatively low whiteness (up to 87 %) against carbonates of metamorphic origin. However, this imperfection is cured with optical brighteners or titan dioxide (TiO₂).

The most popular method of fine-graded chalk manufacture includes rough crushing of initial raw material, magnetic separation, wet powdering, fine crushing in jet disintegrators, collection of suspension with dispergator addition (sodium triphosphate or sodium triphosphate with carbonic sodium mixture are used as dispergator), enrichment in hydrocyclones with intermediate gathering of suspension, secondary thin crushing in jet disintegrators and spray drying.

Limestone and marble possess definitely expressed crystal structure. Limestone is the most widespread sedimentary rock containing up to 95 % of CaCO₃. Carbonate share of limestone also includes dolomite CaMg(CO₃)₂, FeCO₃ and MnCO₃ (below 1 %), non-carbonate impurities presented by clay aluminosilicates and silica minerals (opal, chalcedony, quartz) and in small amounts oxides, hydroxides and sulfides Fe, Ca₃(PO₄)₂, CaSO₄, organic substance. Thus, limestones are considered the most "polluted raw materials".

Industrial fillers based on marble are characterized by very high whiteness. For manufacturing fine-graded filler, marble is subject to micronization (mechanical, jet and ultrasonic crushing is used).

The main property, which defines field of application of fine-graded product, is **granulometry** – a set of numerical parameters and graphic histograms of particle distribution.

The basic figure in granulometry is average size of particles (d₅₀) of core share of filler. Important parameter is also top limit of particles size (d₉₀, d₉₇), showing so-called "tail" – the size of the particles exceeding average level. In case the parameter significantly exceeds the average size, the raw materials practically is unsuitable for use since even a small content of large particles against great bulk leads to decrease in properties of production and wear of equipment. The bottom limit of the size of particles is not obligatory and consequently many manufacturers do not specify it.

For characterizing of carbonate fillers with average size of particles above 100 micron, they often use such parameter as **sieve residue**, showing percentage of particles of the set size, which has remained on a sieve after sifting.

Depending on field of application, great importance is attached to such characteristic of a product, as **whiteness** – quantity of light beams in percentage, reflected from substance. So, for example in the plastic industry, this parameter plays large role in manufacture of white window profile, and is less important in manufacture of colored lining boards or laminated window sills. Whiteness plays very important role,

when chalk is used in manufacture of paintwork materials. Whiteness most often depends on chemical cleanliness of filler.

The greatest whiteness among natural versions of calcite (up to 99.8-99.9 %) belongs to colorless and transparent Icelandic spar. Whiteness decreases mainly due to presence of ferrous oxides infiltrated with soil and underground waters through cracks between blocks and crystals of calcite. Marble whiteness at commercial deposits is in limits of 94-96 %; 98 % in separate blocks is rare. Whiteness of chalk usually makes no more than 84-86 %. Higher whiteness belongs to marble and chalk in droughty areas and at deep position.

Other physical properties of fine-graded product - **humidity**, **dispersancy**, **hygroscopicity**, **hardness**, – are differently important in production depending on type of production being manufactured.

Cleared from extraneous impurity, calcium carbonate is widely used in construction. Crack fillers, various hermetic, glues and dry building mixes – all of them contain calcium carbonate in significant amounts. Besides, this product makes some 20% of the painting pigment used in manufacture of paints.

Manufacturers of plastic mass are also one of key consumers of calcium carbonate as well. Used as filler and dyer, calcium carbonate is required in manufacture of polyvinylchloride (PVC), polyester fibers (crymplen, lavsan, etc.), and polyolefin.

Paper industry applies GCC as bleach, filler (replacing expensive fibers and dyes with it), and as deoxidant too.

In addition, calcium carbonate is major component in manufacture of rubber, coagulants for water treating, household chemical goods production – means for cleaning sanitary equipment, footwear creams, etc.

CaCO₃ finds application in manufacture of goods for personal hygiene (for example, toothpaste), and in food industry. However, volumes of consumption of this raw material in these branches are insignificant. Moreover, even more specific and less capacious are such fields of application of calcium carbonate, as manufacture of pharmaceutical powder mixes for tabletation or manufacture of mixed foddors, as well as manufacture of chemical reactants.

The property complicating application of carbonate filler, chalk in particular, is its ability of aggregation at insignificant humidifying because of big "connectivity" of particles. It leads to choking-up and caking in bunkers, to difficulty of transportation and use. For elimination of this phenomenon, chalk is subject to superficial processing – hydrofobization that means coating of particles' surface with surface-active substances giving chalk resistance to water and causing good flowability.

As a whole, volumes of consumption of carbonate fillers are tens of times less than extraction and use of carbonate raw materials for manufacture of cement, lime,

break stone, etc. So, in 2009, manufacture of carbonate fillers in Russia consumed below 450,000 ton of chalk and 400,000 ton of marble while just extraction of chalk reached some 30 million ton.

1. GCC World Market Brief Appraisal

Development of rubber goods and electro technical branches, polymer goods, paintwork and other industries needs increase in output of high quality fillers, including chalk in the first place. Annual consumption of chalk in lump, crashed and milled form in developed countries stands for some 170 million ton. USA and Canada produce over eight million ton of milled marketable chalk, in Europe – over 15 million ton. Key World producers of milled marketable chalk are USA, China, France, England, Belgium and Russian Federation.

Leading exporters of milled chalk are France, Denmark and Germany. Importers are Germany, Belgium and Netherlands. Some European countries, such as Germany, import chalk for milling and subsequent export of fine-graded product, which costs much higher.

Experts assume that since 2001, GCC (produced from chalk, marble and limestone) World market capacity was increasing 7 per cent a year and reached 80 million ton in 2008 (including produced from chalk – near half). From which not less than 60 million ton has been used in manufacture of paper, plastic mass and paints. The main growth in producing GCC capacities falls upon makers of fine-graded chalk for paper industry.

Some 70 per cent of GCC production is the share of 10 largest companies, including Omya of Swiss (30%) and French Imerys (10-15%). However, the dominating share of these transnational companies in recent years had reduced due to increase in volumes of GCC output just by enterprises of paper industry directly, primarily in China.

In Western Europe and North America, companies which extract and process raw materials on their own (such as OMYA and Imerys), are the main manufacturers of GCC. At the same time in Asia, primarily in China and Japan, manufacture of GCC is carried out directly by industrial divisions of pulp-and-paper industrial integrated plans that buy the raw material at mining companies.

GCC main consumers are pulp-and-paper industry enterprises as well as manufacturers of plastic mass and polymers. Pulp-and-paper industry now accounts for some 40% of GCC consumption. Besides, this branch is the largest consumer of chemically precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC).

In addition, significant volume of GCC (some 20% of world consumption) goes to manufacture of polymers and plastic mass.

The basic growth in demand for GCC is observed in Asia due to dynamic acceleration in Chinese market. In Europe and North America growth in consumption of fine-graded carbonate fillers resulted from increase in manufacture of penetrable polymeric materials.

Fine-graded chalk prices remain almost flat since 2001 when two leading manufacturers of this product OMYA and Imerys increased its prices by 6%-8%. However, chemically precipitated chalk (PCC) (Table 1) prices had grown during two last years.

Table 1: Fine-graded Chalk World Prices in 2006-2010

Country	Kind of Product	Terms of Delivery	Price, £/ton		
			2006-2007	2008-2009	1 half of 2010
GB	Hydrophobized GCC (chalk)	ex-works	30-52 £	30-52 £	-
	Hydrophobized fine-graded GCC (chalk)	ex-works	80-103 £	80-103 £	80-103 £
	High brightness GCC for paper-and-pulp enterprises (1.5 micron)	ex-works	-	170-180 £	-
	Hydrophobized PCC (without coating)	ex-works	300-390 £	320-420 £	320-480 £
	Hydrophobized PCC (coated)	ex-works	300-417 £	320-450 £	350-550 £
USA	GCC 5-7 µ	FOB USA	110-160 \$	110-160 \$	-
	GCC 2-0.5 µ	FOB USA	140-290 \$	140-290 \$	-
	GCC 0,4-1 µ	FOB USA	250-270 \$	250-270 \$	-
	Ultra fine-graded with reinforced surface PCC (0,02-0,36 µ)	FOB USA	375-750 \$	375-750 \$	-

Source: Industrial Minerals

According to experts' assessment, GCC volume of production in the World would be expanding 2%-4% per annum and reach 90 million ton by 2015.

2. Reserves and Deposits of the Raw Materials for Production of GCC in the CIS Countries

Chalk resources distribution over the territory of former USSR is highly irregular: some 48-50 % of high quality chalk rich with calcium carbonate and magnesium as well as minimum rate of harmful impurities centers in Russia; some 32-33 % in Ukraine and a bit over 12 % in Byelorussia. There are small deposits by resources in Kazakhstan, in Lithuania and Georgia.

2.1. Russia

Chalk resources in Russia are being registered in several releases of the State balance of minerals resources. Release under name of "Chalk" now lists 117 deposits of a chalk with total category A+B+C₁ balance reserves making 1,140.3 million ton and category C₂ – 305 million ton. Two more chalk deposits for soda production and rubber manufacture with total category A+B+C₁ reserves at 115.7 million ton and C₂ categories at 26.7 million ton are accounted in the balance sheet of "Carbonate raw materials for chemical industry". One more deposit with A+B+C₁ category resources at volume of 19.3 million ton and C₂ category at 1.1 million ton is listed in the balance sheet of "Carbonate raw materials for sugar and pulp-and-paper industry". All 18 deposits of a chalk with resources of A+B+C₁ category at volume of 3,318.8 million ton and C₂ category at 1,066.8 million ton are accounted in the balance sheet of "Cement raw materials".

Geography of chalk deposits in the territory of Russian Federation is extremely irregular. Over half of all chalk resources - 65.4 % - are concentrated in Central Federal District (Table 2) of which 40.4 % of balance stocks are located in Belgorod Region

Table 2: Geography of Chalk Balance Reserves in Russia

Federal district, Subject of the Federation	Number of deposits	Balance Resources, 000 ton			C ₂
		A+B	A+B+C ₁		
			Total	% to resources in Russia	
Central	53	184565	745394	65.37	251015
Kaluga Region	1	1184	2446	0.21	-
Briansk Region	11	32380	82754	7.26	3411
Orel Region	1	6928	11599	1.02	-
Kursk Region	10	30762	79651	6.99	-
Belgorod Region	19	54560	460229	40.36	182959
Voronezh Region	11	58751	108715	9.53	64645

Federal district, Subject of the Federation	Number of deposits	Balance Resources, 000 ton			C ₂
		A+B	A+B+C ₁		
			Total	% to resources in Russia	
South	6	8546	15843	1.39	-
Rostov Ростовская Region	6	8546	15843	1.39	-
Privolzhsky	55	163135	377922	33.14	54337
Mordovia Republic	2	3590	11510	1.01	-
Penza Region	3	10844	23679	2.08	-
Ulyanovsk Region	16	44887	78676	6.90	9602
Samara Region	3	12630	25312	2.22	37744
Saratov Region	16	43174	147509	12.94	6991
Volgograd Region	13	47631	89976	7,89	-
Orenburg Region	2	379	1260	0,11	-
Total in RF	117	356801	1140271	100,0	305352

Source: State Register of Mineral Resources in RF, Chalk

Privolzhsky Federal District occupies second place by quantity of resources and boasts 33.1% of chalk reserves in Russia. Southern Federal District shares insignificant resources of total Russian chalk (1.4 %). There are almost no explored reserves of chalk in Northern and Northwest regions of Russian Federations. From among accounted in release "Chalk" chalk deposits, 47 are registered in the balance sheet of industrial enterprises (A+B+C₁ category reserves total 729 million ton, C₂ category make 84 million ton), 70 deposits are the State reserve of Russia (A+B+C₁ category reserves make 407 million ton, C₂ category - 221 million ton).

Among all registered deposits, 42 are considered as being developed. A+B+C₁ category chalk reserves of being developed deposits make 62.76 % of total Russian chalk reserves. Five deposits are being prepared for development and their resources make 1.56 % from total Russian reserves.

The largest by reserves of chalk are the following deposits: Lebedinskoye (24.1%) and Prioskolskoye (10.6%) in Belgorod Region as well as Kopanishchenskoye (5.2%) in Voronezh Region and Volskoye (5.4%) in Saratov Region. In total, the share of 10 top deposits exceeds 63% of resources of this kind of mineral raw materials (see Table 3).

The largest deposits of high quality chalk are in Belgorod region. There are over 29 explored deposits of a chalk with confirmed reserves of 1 billion ton in this Region. Prospective reserves of chalk in Belgorod Region are practically limitless. The largest chalk deposits are Lebedinskoye, Stoilenskoye and Logovskoye. Thus, Lebedinskoye and Stoilenskoye deposits boast 75% of discovered resources of chalk in Belgorod Region. These two deposits are exploited for mining of iron ores whereas the chalk is just overburden during extraction of iron ore.

Deposits of chalk of Voronezh Region correspond to Turonian-Coniacian age. The chalk possesses high rate (up to 98.5%) of calcium carbonate and low content of non-carbonate impurity – below 2%; it is enriched with amphoteric silica, brought, obviously, from Santon era sediments. The chalk lies immediately under surface and is covered with eluvia chalk or by Quaternary sediments. Prominent feature of the chalk from deposits in Voronezh Region is its water saturation. Moisture rate in the chalk reaches 32 % resulting in serious difficulties during its extraction and processing. The largest deposits in Voronezh Region could be Kopanishchenskoye, Buturlinskoye, Kruprennikovskoye and Rossoshanskoye.

Russia at above-mentioned deposits extracts and dumps over 15 million ton of chalk annually, this way irrevocably losing it. Only insignificant its part (near 5 million ton) is used for manufacture of cement and extraction of milled chalk.

Chalk Deposits Description

Lebedinskoye chalk deposit is located in Belgorod Region. The chalk sediments occurrence is of sub regional nature with small immersing to the East. In the central part of a deposit the karst is developed. Seam thickness of the mineral varies in a range of 13.2-66 m at average formation of 49.6 m. Quality of a chalk is sustained. The density of rocks is 1,820 kg/m³, natural humidity is 14.7-42.1%. Chemical composition of chalk: CaCO₃ – 97.52%; CaO – 54.65%; MgCO₃ – 0.53%; MgO – 0.26%; Fe₂O₃ – 0.25%; Al₂O₃ – 0,22%; K₂O – 0.05%; Na₂O – 0.1%; P₂O₅ – 0.07%.

Kopanishchenskoye chalk deposit is located in Voronezh Region. Sediments of Quaternary, Neogene, Palaeogene and Cretaceous systems generate deposit area. Effective bulk represents tabular accumulation of homogeneous white writing chalk of Coniacian-Turonian tier of cretaceous system and fluctuates within 16.5-85 m (at average of 35 m). Vertically the body is divided into two packs, from which the bottom contains to 98% of calcium carbonates and magnesium, while top is slightly less rich (96-97.5%). Overburden rocks are presented by loams with coarse-grained inclusions and make only 1.8-2 m. Effective bulk of the deposit not irrigated. Chemical composition of the chalk: CaCO₃ – 98.1%; MgCO₃ – 0.34%; Fe₂O₃+Al₂O₃ – 0.45%; insoluble with HCl residue – 1.6%.

Zeleonaya Poliana (Green Glade) chalk deposit is located in Belgorod Region. Sediments of Cretaceous, Palaeogene, Neogene and Quaternary systems generate geological structure of deposit area. Effective bulk is presented by white writing chalk of Campania tier of the top chalk. The rock is combined of fine-grained calcite with the